## Serial number of the candidate in Summary report of the DEO:- 01 (One)

Name of the State: - Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Daman & Diu

District: - Daman

Election: - General Elections to Lok Sabha- 2024

DEO	's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENS UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES			
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the Candidate	Shri Ketan Dahyabhai Patel		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress		
3.	No. & Name of Assembly/ Parliamentary	1- Daman and Diu		
·	Constituency	Parliamentary  Constituency		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Shri Patel Umesh bhai Babubhai		
5.	Date of declaration of result	04.06.2024		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30.06.2024		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes/ <del>No</del>		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes/ <del>No</del>		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If Not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes/ <del>No</del>		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	03.07.2024		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes/ <del>No</del>		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) Original Account  (ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	01.07.2024 N/A		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes/ <del>No</del>		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	<del>Yes</del> /No		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.		
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.		

15.							
16.	Whether in the election lodged in the 1951 and C.	expens ne manı	Yes/ <del>No</del>				
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	R A R	.ccount	comprising o Register, Cash	Expenditure f Day to Day Register, Bank ment has been	Yes/ <del>No</del>		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes/ <del>No</del>		
	0		s of election	thers in respect n expenditure	Yes/ <del>No</del>		
	, ,	√hether pened f	separate B or election	ank Account	Yes/ <del>No</del>		
	e			re (except petty through bank	Yes/ <del>No</del>		
18.	(i) Whether candidate for (ii) Whether the whether the	or rectif or the ca nents of	Yes/ <del>No</del> Yes/ <del>No</del> Yes. Rectified.				
19.	whether the defect was rectified or not.  Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:						
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	Not Applicable						
ii.iii	Not Applicable						
TOTA	AL				Not Applicable		

20.	Did the	e candidate produce his Register of	V /NT
	Account	ing Election Expenditure for inspection by	Yes/ <del>No</del>
	the Obs	server/RO/Authorized persons 3 times	
	during	ampaign period	
21.		does not agree with the facts mentioned	Nick Asselled 1
	against	Row No. 19 referred to above, give the	Not Applicable
	followin	g details:-	
	(i)	Were the defects noticed by DEO	Yes/No
		brought to the notice of the candidate	
		during campaign period or during the	Not Applicable
	2	Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii)	If yes, then annex copies of all the	Not Applicable
		notices issued relating to discrepancies	rotrippiicable
		with English translation (if it is in	
-		regional language) and mention the date	
		of notice	
	(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the	Yes/ <del>No</del>
		notice?	Not Applicable
	(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such	Not Applicable
		explanation received, (with English	
		translation of the same, if it is in regional	
		language) and mention date of reply	
	(v)	DEO's comments/observations on the	Not Applicable
		candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether	the DEO agrees that the expenses are	Yes/ <del>No</del>
		reported by the candidate.	
	(Should I	be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary	
	Report of	DEO)	

Date: 03.07.2024
Signature
(Name of the DEO) / SWRABH MUHLA (Saurabh Mishra)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*- Nil

Date:03.07.2024 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

<sup>\*</sup> If the expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feel like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.